

The Evolution of Monetary Policy in an Age of Uncertainty

By William White

The Prudent Risk Management Approach to Price Stability

Central Bank of Armenia

23 January, 2025

Getting monetary policy right is crucially important

- Monetary economies are complex, adaptive systems
- Such systems have breakdowns and multiple equilibria,
- Breakdowns affect nominal prices but also have real effects
- Worse, economic distress can have effects on nested systems
- Democracy and the environment already under severe stress
- Are we stumbling towards a polycrisis?

Facts: monetary policy has created ever bigger bubbles (1)

- Three major structural developments since the 1980s
- Central banks have ignored two of them
- Resulting in successive financial crises, rather than rising inflation
- With monetary policy forced to become increasingly experimental

Facts: monetary policy has created ever bigger bubbles (2)

- Embarking on the bad path: the Louvre Accord and its effects
- Followed by successive financial crises in the 1990s
- Followed by the TMT equity bubble and burst in 2000
- Followed by the false promise of an enduring “Great Moderation”

Facts: monetary policy has created ever bigger bubbles (3)

- The onset of the Great Financial Crisis (GFC)
- Followed by increasingly experimental monetary policy
- Followed by still more of the same during the covid pandemic
- Followed by rising inflation and tighter monetary policy
- Raising fears about financial stability and fiscal sustainability

Theory: how “false beliefs” led to bad policy (1)

- Central banks overestimated the **need** for easy money
- Initial deflation caused by positive supply shocks, not a problem
- But subsequent easing now threatens a Fisher “debt deflation”
- We are now caught in a “debt trap” of our own making

Theory: how “false beliefs” led to bad policy (2)

- Central banks overestimated the **effectiveness** of easy money
- Overestimated future growth and inflation for decade post GFC
- Reasons to doubt effectiveness at any moment in time
- Reasons to doubt effectiveness given repeated use over time
- Successive bubbles and the inexorable rise of debt ratios

Theory: how “false beliefs” led to bad policy (3)

- Underestimation of **unintended consequences** of easy money
- The negative effects on inequality and democracy
- The negative effects on financial stability and fiscal sustainability
- The negative effects on central bank independence
- The negative effects on potential growth
- The negative effects on emerging markets
- The shortcomings of “ample reserve” operating systems

Theory: how “false beliefs” led to bad policy (4)

- Underestimating the **difficulties of exit** from easy money
- Political economy arguments for hesitating to raise rates
- Fears of triggering financial instability and fiscal dominance
- Negative supply shocks will make future tradeoffs worse
- Exiting from Quantitative Easing faces special difficulties

Suggestions for improving the monetary policy framework

- Last Fed review (2022) now recognized to be a disaster
- Most suggestions stress the need for more humility and prudence
- As well as paying more attention to supply side developments
- **G30 Report and BIS:** stress the dangers of prolonged easy money
- **Geneva Report:** stresses easy money leads to financial instability
- **Bernanke report:** clarity needed about transmission mechanism
- Almost recommends need for alternative scenarios
- **Isabel Schnabel, Charles Goodhart:** need alternative scenarios

Prudential Risk Management responds to these suggestions

- Alternative scenarios as a response to uncertainty
- Minimizing loss functions in ENDOCRED recognizes uncertainties
- Non-linearities imply inflation more costly than deflation
- Supply shocks are given more emphasis
- Endogenous money and clarity about transmission mechanisms

Prudential Risk Management reacts against “false beliefs”

- The **need** for easy money: PRM emphasizes inflation dangers and recognizes negative supply shocks
- The **effectiveness** of easy money: assuming endogenous credibility introduces a negative feedback effect
- The **unintended consequences** of easy money: greater attention paid to distributional issues and the effects on financial variables
- The **difficulties of exit** from easy money: begins to introduce concerns about financial dominance and fiscal dominance

Credible model simulations help answer important questions

- Is it better to “lean” against a bubble or just clean up after?
- Should monetary leaning be complemented with other policies?
- Do asymmetric responses to inflation and deflation matter?
- What are the implications of different expectations formation?
- Should nominal policy rates be constrained well above zero?
- Can changes to incentives and structure improve outcomes?

Prudential Risk Management is a Significant Step Forward